

DEMONSTRATING THE BENEFITS OF PHYTOSANITARY REGULATIONS: THE CASE OF ISPM 15

Eckehard G. Brockerhoff¹, Juliann E. Aukema²,
Kerry O. Britton³, Joseph F. Cavey⁴,
Lynn J. Garrett⁵, Robert A. Haack⁶, Mark Kimberley⁷,
Andrew M. Liebhold⁸, Frank L. Lowenstein⁹, Carissa Marasas⁴,
Amelia Nuding², Lars Olson¹⁰, Christa Speekmann⁴,
Michael Springborn¹¹, Christina Vieglais¹², and James Turner⁷

¹Scion (NZ Forest Research Institute), PO Box 29237,
Christchurch 8540, New Zealand

²National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis,
University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93101

³U.S. Forest Service, Research and Development, Arlington, VA 22209

⁴USDA APHIS PPQ, Riverdale, MD 20737

⁵USDA APHIS PPQ CPHST, Raleigh, NC 27606

⁶U.S. Forest Service, Northern Research Station, East Lansing, MI 48823

⁷Scion (NZ Forest Research Institute), Private Bag 3020, Rotorua 3046, New Zealand

⁸U.S. Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Morgantown, WV 26505

⁹The Nature Conservancy, Forest Health Program, Sheffield, MA 01257

¹⁰University of Maryland, Agricultural and Resource Economics, College Park, MD 20742

¹¹University of California, Environmental Science and Policy, Davis, CA 95616

¹²University of California, Bren School for Environmental
Science and Management, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

ABSTRACT

Invasions of non-indigenous insects and pathogens threaten trees and forest ecosystems worldwide. For example, the arrival and spread of the pathogens causing chestnut blight and Dutch elm disease, along with the bark beetles vectoring the latter, had dramatic effects on North American forests. Despite our improved awareness of the risks associated with biological invasions, globalization and an increase in international trade have facilitated the continued arrival and establishment of non-indigenous forest pests and diseases. Several recent invaders, including the Asian longhorned beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*) and emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*), are particularly worrying because they infest and kill apparently healthy host trees. The overall economic impacts of invasive forest pests, including damage to trees, management and control actions, loss in amenity

value, trade restrictions, and various other costs are expected to amount to many billions of dollars.

The use of wood packaging materials in international trade has been identified as an important pathway for the introduction of insects and pathogens associated with wood and timber. In recognition of the risk of further invasions occurring as a result of the use of untreated wood packaging materials (such as pallets, cases, drums, skids, and dunnage), the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) developed the International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) No. 15 (Guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade). ISPM 15 prescribes the use of heat treatment or methyl bromide fumigation to kill any life stages of insects and pathogens associated with wood packaging materials before export and thereby mitigate pest risks. ISPM

15 has been widely adopted since its endorsement by the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures in March 2002; as of 2010, it has been implemented by more than 50 countries. In the United States, all wood packaging material entering the country has had to comply with ISPM 15 since February 1, 2006.

The Nature Conservancy and the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) at the University of California, Santa Barbara, have collaborated to establish a working group for increasing our understanding of the effects of phytosanitary policy in preventing the establishment and spread of invasive forest pests. The specific objectives of the NCEAS working group are to (1) estimate the costs and benefits of phytosanitary policy, (2) develop a conceptual model incorporating both ecological and economic elements to capture these costs and benefits, and (3) fully integrate and parameterize these models for a formal cost-benefit analysis of ISPM 15 as a case study. Our ultimate aim is to calculate the net benefits or costs of this policy, thereby providing an analytical framework for the development of further phytosanitary policies designed to mitigate other high-risk pathways, such as live plants (i.e., Plants for Planting).

ISPM 15 was chosen for a case study because its implementation in 2006 enabled a before and after analysis by comparing real-world data for the pre-ISPM 15 and post-ISPM 15 periods. Various data sources and a trade model are being used to estimate

the costs of ISPM 15 compliant treatments and lost benefits from trade caused by the increased cost of transporting commodities. The benefits of the policy are being estimated as the averted damages, compared to a no-policy situation. These averted damages are estimated from the reduction in pest arrival rate (i.e., reduced propagule pressure) and the resulting decrease in the rate of pest establishments. USDA APHIS records of pest interceptions at U.S. ports-of-entry (AQIM and PestID) are being used to estimate changes in pest arrival rate due to ISPM 15, and the relationship between the interception rate of particular pest species and their establishment. Interceptions serve as a proxy of arrival rate because there is no information on the actual rate of pest arrival.

Preliminary results indicate that ISPM 15 has not completely closed the pathway associated with wood packaging materials because several post-ISPM 15 interceptions have been recorded, although there appears to be a downward trend. We have identified a number of confounding factors that could either be masking the effects of ISPM 15 or impeding its desired effects. Our analyses and economic and ecological models are being finalized, and we plan to release the main findings in late 2010. Another output will be advice for policymakers on improved data collection that would greatly assist with future efforts in demonstrating the effects of other phytosanitary measures, such as Plants for Planting, which is currently under development.